

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

**BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS** 

SUSAN BITTER SMITHORIGINAL

2014 DEC 10 A 10: 44

4 CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

DEC 1 0 2014

**DOCKETED BY** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE MATTER OF THE FORMAL COMPLAINT OF ACCIPITER COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AGAINST VISTANCIA, LLC, AND COX ARIZONA TELCOM, LLC.

DOCKET NO. T-03471A-05-0064

## PROCEDURAL ORDER

## BY THE COMMISSION:

On January 31, 2005, Accipiter Communications, Inc. ("Accipiter") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a formal complaint against Vistancia Communications, LLC and Shea Sunbelt Pleasant Point, LLC (both now known as Vistancia, LLC ("Vistancia")) and Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC ("Cox"). The complaint arose out of Vistancia's controlling telecommunications providers' access to the Vistancia development in Peoria, Arizona, through a private easement arrangement and assessment of an access fee. Accipiter alleged that Cox and Vistancia had created the private easement arrangement to unlawfully stifle competition. Accipiter has entered into a Settlement Agreement with Vistancia and Cox. This docket initially remained open at the request of the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff"), but has had no activity since 2009.

On November 5, 2014, Cox filed a Motion to Dismiss this matter for the following reasons: (1) Cox and Accipiter have settled their dispute, (2) Accipiter has requested to withdraw from the docket, (3) the private easement arrangement has been extinguished by the City of Peoria, (4) Accipiter is currently providing service in Vistancia, (5) the passage of time has proven that the

Accipiter alleged that there was a scheme crafted by Vistancia and Cox to monopolize the telecommunications market within the Vistancia development by intentionally excluding competition and advancing the financial interests of Vistancia at the expense of customer choice. Accipiter also alleged that the Vistancia and Cox scheme supplanted the iurisdiction of the Commission.

For additional procedural history, please see the Procedural Orders issued in this matter on March 27 and May 23, 2008, and on February 12, 2009.

private easement concept was a one-time occurrence, (6) the provision of service to planned developments has changed such that Cox no longer enters into preferred provider marketing agreements, (7) the passage of time has created challenges to conducting any further hearings in this matter due to witness availability and recall, and (8) limited resources should not be expended on an issue that is no longer relevant to the telecommunications market. In addition, Cox described the terms of the settlement agreement, docketed in December 2005, and provided additional information concerning the points enumerated above. Cox requested that the Commission dismiss the complaint and close this docket.

On December 9, 2014, Staff filed a Response to the Cox Motion to Dismiss, stating that Staff has no objection to dismissal of this matter.

No other response to the Cox Motion to Dismiss has been filed.

Because there has been no objection to the Cox Motion to Dismiss, and in light of the points enumerated above, it is reasonable and appropriate to grant the Cox Motion to Dismiss and to close this docket.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Cox Motion to Dismiss is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Docket No. T-03471A-05-0064 is hereby closed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 10 day of December, 2014.

SARAH N. HARPRING

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this \( \subseteq \text{this} \) day of December, 2014, to:
2	William D. Cleaveland DAVIS MILES, PLLC
3	P.O. Box 15070 560 West Brown Road, Third Floor
4	Mesa, AZ 85211 Attorney for Accipiter Communications, Inc.
5	Michael M. Grant
6	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A. 2575 East Camelback Road
7	Phoenix, AZ 85016-9225 Attorney for Vistancia, LLC
8	Michael W. Patten ROSHKA DEWULF AND PATTEN, PLC
9	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2262
10	Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC
11	William J. Maledon Dawn L. Dauphine
12	OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100
13	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2765 Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, LLC
14	Mark DiNunzio COX ARIZONA TELCOM, LLC
15	1550 West Deer Valley Road MS: DV3-16, Building C
16	Phoenix, AZ 85027-2121
17	Patrick Sherrill, President and CEO ACCIPITER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
18	2238 West Lone Cactus Drive, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85027-2641
19	Martin Aronson One East Camelback Road, Suite 340
20	Phoenix, AZ 85012
21	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division
22	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
23	Phoenix, AZ 85007
24	Steven Olea, Director Utilities Division
25	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
26	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
27	By: Jam lalad
28	Tammy Velande Assistant to Sarah N. Harpring